

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/622,715

Applicant(s)

KASAHARA, HIROSHI

Examiner

Michael C. Miggins

Art Unit

1772

All Participants:(1) Michael C. Miggins.(2) Bruce Kramer.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Ikenoya in view of Ito

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Ikenoya and Ito***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Examiner's amendment approved. Claims amended for clarity and to better define the invention***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)